PTO/SB/21 (02-04)

6144863585 DANE BUTZER

		u.S. Pater	at each To	adamerk Offic	e 115 C	gh 07/31/2005, OMB 0651-0031 DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE	
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995	5. no persor	Application Number	on of info	ormation unles	s it disni	avs a valid OMS control number.	
			09/590			———RECEN	ED
TRANSMITTAL		Filing Date	June 7, 2000 CENTRAL F			- CENTRAL FAX	XCEN
FORM		First Named Inventor	Stever	R. KLEIMAN			J
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)		Art Unit	2176 MAY		MAY 1 8	200	
		Examiner Name	Chau	r. NGUYEN			
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	2	Attorney Docket Number	103.10	37.01		AFEU	
	ENC	LOSURES (Check all the	t apply	·)	,		
Fee Transmittal Form w/ Duplicate	П	Drawing(s)		Aft		ance communication ogy Center (TC)	1
res transmital com wi bupiloate				Ap	peal Co	mmunication to Board	
Fee Attached		Licensing-related Papers				and Interferences mmunication to 1 C	
Amendment/Reply		Petition				tice, Brief, Reply Brief)	l
After Final		Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application		Pro	prietary	/ Information	
		Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Addr	ecc.	Sta	atus Leti	er	l
Affidavits/declaration(s)		•	483	₩ W	ner Encl	osurc(s) (please	
Extension of Time Request		Terminal Disclaimer		00 لـــٰـا	ntify be	low): ographical error in May 17,	
Express Abandonment Request		Request for Refund		2004, res		ograpinoal ciror in ivia; iri	
Information Disclosure Statement		CD, Number of CD(s)					
Certified Copy of Priority	Rema Fax N	o.: (703) 872-9306					
Document(s)	1	, ,				Eled on May 47	
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application	2004.	an informal filing that corrects a t (Last line of 3rd paragraph on page)	ge 10 s	hould read "I	therein f	hat teaches an address or	
• .,	location	n" Intead of "therein that teache	s and a	ddress or loo	cation	ີ)	
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53							
SIGNA	TURE (OF APPLICANT, ATTORN	EY, C	R AGENT	Γ	<u></u>	
Firm Dane C. Butzer (Reg. No	. 43,521)	for					
or The Swernofsky Law Gro	que						
Signature D. C. A.	7. 瓜						
Date May 18, 2004							
	EDTIE	CATE OF TRANSMISSION	IAMAI	ING			•
						<u> </u>	ì
hereby certify that this correspondence is a sufficient postage as first class mail in an er the date shown below.	being facs avelope ac	imile transmitted to the USPTO or Idressed to: Commissioner for Pa	depos tenta, F	ited with the P.O. Box 145	United 0, Alexa	States Postal Service with andria, VA 22313-1450 on	
Typed or printed name . Dane C. Butzer							
		Λ -1			Date	l.:	
Signature 7	. С.	77-7				May 18, 2004	,

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gethering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the Individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

103.1037.01

The applied art is not seen by Applicant to disclose or to suggest the foregoing features of claim 1, at least with respect to "plural data buffers of different sizes, at least some of said data buffers matched to sizes of data blocks to be transferred into or out of those data buffers" and with respect to "select[ing] one or more of said data buffers for a data transfer responsive to a size of data blocks for said data transfer."

Features somewhat akin to these were recited by the versions of claims 1, 4 and 12, among others. Therefore, amended claim 1 is discussed below in light of the rejections of those claims.

Claims 1 and 4 recited that an address or location for a data transfer is responsive to a size of said data. The Office Action cited Yamishita at col. 3, line 33, to col. 4, line 17; col. 6, lines 32 to 49; and col. 6, line 56, to col. 7, line 2, as teaching this feature. While the cited text does discuss the data transfer length and size of the data, Applicant sees nothing whatsoever therein that teaches and address or location being responsive to these measures.

Furthermore, as amended, claim 1 now recites "select[ing] one or more of said data buffers for a data transfer responsive to a stze of data blocks for said data transfer" (emphasis added). Applicant submits that the cited portions of Yamashita clearly do not teach this feature.

Claim 12 recited a data transfer region having buffers matched to different sized data transfers. The Office Action cited Liberty at col. 5, line 58, to col. 6, line 38, and Yamashita at col. 3, line 33, to col. 4, line 17, and col. 6, line 32, to col. 7, line 2 as teaching this feature.